

Interview Summary

Application No.

08/439,562

Applicant(s)

Redford et al

Examiner

Joe H. Cheng

Group Art Unit

3302



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joe H. Cheng (examiner)(3) Peter Redford (applicant)(2) Applicant's Attorneys(4) Donald Stern (applicant)Date of Interview September 6 and 12, 1996Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 63-73, and 101-128.

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The aforementioned claims were discussed on September 6, 1996. Applicant's attorney agreed to make the necessary changes by FAX the amendment in the forthcoming response. On September 12, 1996, the aforementioned claims were discussed based on the FAX amendment. Applicant's attorney agreed to make the necessary changes by the Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☒ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

JOE H. CHENG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3302